UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,142	11/24/2003	Dan T. Simionescu	CXU-379	4675
22827 DORITY & MA	7590 12/12/200 ANNING, P.A.	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449	KHAN, AMINA S		
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/722,142	SIMIONESCU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AMINA KHAN	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/11/0</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,24,28-30,32-39,47-49 and 51-5 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30,32-39 and 47 is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,24,28,29,48-49, 51-55 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.	1.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/722,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in view of applicant's amendments filed on September 11, 2008.

- 2. Claims 20,21,24,28-30,32-39,47-49 and 51-55 are pending. Claims 1-19, 22,23,25-27,31,40-46 and 50 have been cancelled. Claims 30, 32-39 and 47 have been withdrawn from consideration due to a non-elected invention. Claims 54 and 55 are new. Claims 20,21,29,30,32 and 48 have been amended.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph rejection of claims 20,21 and 48 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 20,21,24,28,29,48,49 and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasiaux et al. (WO 01/21228) in view of Nguyen-Thien-Nhon (US 6,001,126).

Art Unit: 1796

Tasiaux et al. teach treating bovine pericardium with glutaraldehyde and gallotannic acid (page 35; lines 20-25 and page 36, lines 20-30). Tasiaux et al. further teach that these compounds provide the tissue with calcification resistance and stabilization (page 3 lines 1-5). Tasiaux et al. further teach the aldehyde crosslinks the collagen of the tissue (page 14, line 40 to page 15, line 5). Tasiaux et al. further teach tannic acid may be used to treat the tissue (page 3, lines 15-20), that aortic valves or pericardium may be treated (page 2, lines 20-30) and that these treated tissues are suitable for implants (column 20, lines 39-41). Tasiaux et al. further teach applying the techniques to cardiac valves or tissues (page 15, lines 10-15).

Tasiaux et al. do not teach cross-linked elastin or the concentration of the elastin.

Nguyen-Thien-Nhon teaches bioprosthetic implantable heart valves (column 2, lines 40-50) treated with a fixative or tanning agent such as glutaraldehyde for cross-linking the tissue (column 4, lines 60-67; column 5, lines 1-10) wherein the heart valves possess large amounts of collagen and elastin (column 1, lines 50-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the cardiac valves and vessels and aortic valves taught by Tasiaux et al. would incorporate the elastin at the instantly claimed percentages because Nguyen-Thien-Nhon teach these valves possess large amounts of elastin and are conventionally fixed with chemical cross-linking agents such as glutaraldehyde. It would be expected that in the presence of elastin, a cross-linking agent such as tannic acid or glutaraldehyde would obviously provide a cross-linking of the elastin in the tissue. Furthermore, the disclosure of "large amounts of elastin" in the heart valves by

Art Unit: 1796

Nguyen-Thien-Nhon would be expected to encompass the at least 30% instantly claimed. Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to optimize the concentration of elastin to provide enhanced cross-linking of the tissue for enhanced stabilization and anti-calcification benefits of the implant.

6. Claims 20,21,24,28,29,48,49 and 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasiaux et al. (WO 01/21228) in view of Nguyen-Thien-Nhon (US 6,001,126) and further in view of Yang (US 2003/0078659).

Tasiaux et al. and Nguyen-Thien-Nhon are relied upon as described in paragraph 5.

Tasiaux et al. and Nguyen-Thien-Nhon do not explicitly disclose vena cava tissue.

Yang teaches that it is advantageous to make prosthesis from vena cava tissue which has been chemically treated or crosslinked (paragraph 0025).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods of Tasiaux et al. and Nguyen-Thien-Nhon to incorporate vena cava tissue because Tasiaux et al. teach applying the techniques to cardiac valves or tissues to provide the tissue with calcification resistance and stabilization and Yang teach the desirability of using crosslinked vena cava tissue, a known cardiac tissue, in bioprosthetic implants. Furthermore, the vena cava tissue would obviously incorporate the elastin at the instantly claimed percentages because it would be a property of that type of tissue. Furthermore, the disclosure of "large amounts"

Art Unit: 1796

of elastin" in the heart valves by Nguyen-Thien-Nhon would be expected to encompass

the at least 30% instantly claimed. Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art would be

motivated to optimize the concentration of elastin to provide enhanced cross-linking of

the tissue for enhanced stabilization and anti-calcification benefits of the implant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed Tasiaux et al. in view of Nguyen-Thien-Nhon have

been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner asserts that Tasiaux

teach treating all cardiac tissue and vessels, and this disclosure along with the teaching

of Nguyen-Thien-Nhon to treat tissues with high elastin contents would encompass the

30% limitation. Applicant acknowledges that aortic wall tissue is encompassed by these

teachings and would possess greater than 30% elastin. Accordingly, the rejections are

maintained.

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. this Office action. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/722,142 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMINA KHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,142 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Amina Khan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 December 7, 2008